## CHAPTER -IV

## PROCESS COSTING

## Introduction

Process Costing is a method of costing used to find out the cost of a product at each stage or process of production. There are certain industries where the raw material passes through different stages of production, before it becomes a finished product. In such cases, it is desirable to find out the cost of the product at the end of each stage or process. This purpose is served by process costing. It is employed in chemical works, soap making, oil refining, textiles, paper, food products, iron and steel etc.,

## FEATURES OF PROCESS COSTING

1. Production is continuous. The final product is the result of a sequence of processes.
2. The units manufactured are standardized and identical.
3. The output of the one process is the input of the next process. The output of the last process is transferred to finished stock.
4. Cost of material, wages and overheads are collected for process and debited to the process account.
5. The cost per unit is arrived at by dividing the total process cost by the number of units produced.
6. The total cost of the finished product is the sum of all costs incurred in all the processes.

## MERITS OF PROCESS COSTING

1. The cost of each process and the finished product can be computed periodically, say, at the end of each month.
2. Expenses can be allocated to the process on a suitable basis. The cost of each process can be ascertained accurately.
3. Budgeted and actual figures are available for each process. Process costing also highlights abnormal loss if any. Hence, managerial control is comparatively easier.
4. The method of process costing is simple and involves less clerical work.
5. Process costing facilitates correct valuation of stock and work in progress.
6. The average cost of identical products can be computed easily.

## LIMITATIONS OF PROCESS COSTING

1. The average cost computed in process costing conceals the weaknesses and inefficiencies in processing.
2. Where production is not homogeneous, the average cost may present an incorrect picture of actual costs.
3. In the valuation of work in progress, estimation is required to determine the stage of completion. The estimation weakens the accuracy of cost figures.
4. If joint products come out of the same process, the problem of apportionment of joint costs among different products arises. If apportionment is not properly done, cost results may not be accurate.
5. Process costing is based on historical costs. Hence, it has all the weaknesses of historical costing.

## PROCESS LOSS

In all manufacturing industries material loss occurs in the process of production.This is evident from the difference between the quantity of input and outpu. This loss is broadly divided into Two:
> Normal Loss
> Abnormal Loss

## NORMAL LOSS

Normal loss refers to the loss, which is unavoidable in the manufacturing process. It is inherent in the process of production. It arises under normal conditions due to the nature of material or production

## CAUSES

The causes of normal loss are evaporation, chemical reaction, shrinkage, spoilage etc.

## ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

In process accounts, normal loss is recorded only in terms of quantity. The cost of unit lost is absorbed by the good units produced in each process. Any realizable value of normal loss units is credited to the process account.

## ABNORMAL LOSS

Abnormal loss refers to the loss, which is avoidable. It arises due to abnormal or unusual factors. The cost of abnormal loss is not included in the cost of process.

## CAUSES

The causes of abnormal loss are sub standard material, bad design, accidents, carelessness etc.

## ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

The value of abnormal loss is calculated as follows:
Abnormal loss $=$ Normal cost of normal output X Units of abnormal loss
Normal output
The amount of abnormal loss is debited to abnormal loss account and credited to process account. Any realizable value of abnormal units is credited to abnormal loss account. The balance in abnormal loss account is transferred to costing profit and loss account.

## ABNORMAL GAIN ACCOUNT

In process, costing, normal loss is estimated based on experience. When the actual loss is less than the estimated loss, it gives to abnormal gain. In other words, if the actual output is higher than the normal output it is called as abnormal gain.

## ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

Abnormal gain is calculated as follows:
Abnormal gain $={ }^{\text {Normal cost of normal output }} \mathrm{X}$ Units of abnormal gain
Normal output
The amount of abnormal gain is debited to process account and credited to the abnormal gain account. Because of abnormal gain, scrap realization shown against the normal loss is reduced. The loss in income because of reduced scrap realization is debited to abnormal gain account and credited to normal loss account. The balance is transferred to costing profit and loss account.

Specimen of ProcessAccount when there are normal loss and abnormal losses.

| Dr. | Process I A/c. |  |  | Cr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particulars Units | Rs. | Particulars | Units | Rs. |
| To Basic Material xxx | xx | By Normal Loss | xx | xx |
| To Direct Material | xx | By Abnormal Loss | xx | xx |
| To Direct Wages | xx | By Process II A/c. | xx | xx |
| To Direct Expenses | xx | (output transferred to |  |  |
| ToProduction Overheads | xx | Next process) |  |  |
|  |  | By Process I Stock | c. $\underline{\text { xx }}$ | $\underline{\text { XX }}$ |
| To Abnormal Gains | $\underline{\mathrm{Xx}}$ |  |  |  |
| Total_ $\mathbf{x x}$ | $\underline{\text { xxx }}$ | Total | $\underline{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $\underline{\text { xx }}$ |

## DIFFERENCE BETWEEN JOB COSTING AND PROCESS COSTING

## 1. Nature of Production

In case of job costing, production is carried on against the specific orders from customers. However, in case of process costing, production is carried on in anticipation of demand.

## 2. Cost of Job and Process

In case of job costing, costs are accumulated for each job, but in case of process, costs are accumulated for each process for a period.

## 3. Cost Computation

Costs are computed on completion of the job in case of job costing whereas; costs are computed periodically for each process in case of process costing.

## 4. Work in Progress

In case of job costing, each job may or may not have opening or closing work in progress. In case of process, production is continuous. Hence, there is opening and closing work in progress.

## 5. Transfer of Cost

Costs are not transferred except when there is a surplus production in case of job costing. Costs are transferred from one process to another in case of process costing.

## 6. Control

As each job is different from the other, control is difficult in case of job costing. As production is standardized and carried on a mass scale, control is relatively easy in case of process costing.

## 7. Nature of work

As each job is separately accounted, paper work is more in case of job costing and paper work is comparatively less in case of process costing.

## 8. Shape and Size

In case of job costing, there is no standardization between the jobs, because each job is not similar to other job. In case of process costing, products are standardized, uniform and have the similar size.

## 9. Management Control

In job costing, managerial control is not easy. In process, costing, managerial control is easy. The reason is the production is continuous and standardized system.

## 10. Dependent and independent

In job costing, each job is separately accounted, as one job does not depend on another. In process costing, one process depends upon other process, so the cost cannot be calculated separately.

## Illustration 1

A particular brand of phenyl passed through three important processes. During the week ended $15^{\text {th }}$ January, 1952, 600 gross of bottles are produced. The cost book show the following information:

|  | Process 1 | Process 2 | Process 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Material | 4000 | 2000 | 1500 |
| Labour | 3000 | 2500 | 2300 |
| Direct Expenses | 600 | 200 | 500 |
| Cost of bottles | Nil | 2030 | Nil |
| Cost of corks | Nil | Nil | Nil |

The indirect expenses for the period were Rs. 1600. The bye-products were sold for Rs. 240 (Process 2). The residue sold for Rs. 125.50 (Process 3).

Prepare the account in respect of each process showing its cost and cost of production of the finished product per gross of bottles.

## Solution:

| Process 1 (Output 600 gross of bottles) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rs. |  | Rs. |
| To Materials | 4000.00 | By Transfer to Process <br> No. 2 (cost per gross of <br> bottles Rs. 13.69 | 8215.38 |


|  |  | approximately) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Labour | 3000.00 |  |  |
| To Direct Expenses | 600.00 |  |  |
| To Indirect Expenses | 615.38 |  | 8215.38 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8215.38 | Total |  |
|  |  |  | 240.00 |
| Process 2 | 2000.00 | By Transfer to Process of <br> bottles (cost per gross of <br> bottles Rs. <br> approximately) | 15218.20 |
| To Transfer from Process 1 | 8215.38 |  |  |
| To Materials | 2500.00 |  |  |
| To Labour | 200.00 |  | 15458.20 |
| To Direct Expenses | 512.82 |  |  |
| To Indirect Expenses | 2030.00 |  |  |
|  | 15458.20 | Total |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Process 3 | 15458.20 | By Sale of residue | 125.50 |
|  | 1500.00 | Bu Finished products <br> account (Cost per gross of <br> bottles Rs. 33.65) | 20189.50 |
| To Transfer from Process 2 | 2300.00 |  |  |
| To Materials | 500.00 |  | 20315.00 |
| To Labour | 471.80 |  |  |
| To Direct Expenses | 325.00 |  |  |
| To Indirect Expenses | 20315.00 | Total |  |
| To Cost of rocks |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: Indirect Expenses have been charged to three processes in the labour ratio of $30: 25: 23$

## Illustration 2

(Normal wastage - Loss in weight and sale of scrap)
The Bengal Chemical Co. Ltd., produced three chemicals during the months of July 1995 by three consecutive processes. In each process 2 per cent of the total weight put in is lost and 10 percent is scrap which from process (1) and (2) realizes Rs. 100 a ton and form process (3) Rs. 20 a ton.

The product of three processes is dealt with as follows:

|  | Process I | Process II | Process III |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passed to next <br> process | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ | - |


| Stock kept for sale | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Process I |  | Process II |  | Process III |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rs. | Tons | Rs. | Tons | Rs. | Tons |
| Raw materials | 120000 | 1000 | 28000 | 140 | 107840 | 1348 |
| Manufacturing Wages | 20500 | - | 18520 | - | 15000 | - |
| General Expenses | 10300 | - | 7240 | - | 3100 | - |

Prepare Process Cost Account, showing the cost per ton of each product.
Solution: Process I

|  | Tons | Rs. |  | Tons | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Raw Materials | 1,000 | $1,20,000$ | By Loss of weight <br> (2\% of 1000 tons) | 20 | - |
| To Manufacturing Wages |  | 20,500 | By Sales of scrap <br> (10\% of 1000 tons) | 100 | 10,000 |
| To General Expenses | 10,300 | By Transfer to <br> Warehouse | 220 | 35,200 |  |
|  |  | By Transfer to <br> Process II (cost per <br> ton Rs. 160) | 660 | $1,05,60$ |  |
| Total | 1,000 | $1,50,800$ |  | 1,000 | $1,50,800$ |

Process II

|  | Tons | Rs. |  | Tons | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Transfer from Process I | 660 | $1,05,600$ |  |  |  |
| To Raw Materials | 140 | 28,000 | By Loss of weight <br> (2\% of 800 tons) | 16 | - |
| To Manufacturing Wages | - | 18,520 | By Sales of scrap <br> (10\% of 800 tons) | 80 | 8,000 |
| To General Expenses | - | 7240 | By Transfer to <br> Warehouse | 352 | 75,680 |
|  |  | By Transfer to <br> Process III (cost per <br> ton Rs. 215) | 352 | 75,680 |  |
| Total | 800 | $1,59,360$ |  | 800 | 159360 |

Process III

|  | Tons | Rs. |  | Tons | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Transfer from Process II | 352 | 75,680 |  |  |  |
| To Raw Materials | 1,348 | $1,07,840$ | By Loss of weight (2\% <br> of 1700 tons) | 34 | - |
| To Manufacturing Wages | - | 15,000 | By Sales of scrap (10\% <br> of 1700 tons) | 170 | 3,400 |
| To General Expenses | - | 3,100 | By Transfer to <br> Warehouse | 1,496 | 198220 |
|  |  | By Transfer to Process <br> III (cost per ton Rs. <br> 215) | 352 | 75,680 |  |
| Total | 1700 | $2,01,620$ | Total | 1700 | 201620 |

## Illustration 3

(Showing Process A/cs and Abnormal Wastage A/cs)
The Imperial Manufacturing Company's product passes through two distinct processes A and B and then to Finished Stock. It is known from past experience that wastage occurring in the process is as under:

In process A $5 \%$ of the units entering the process.
In process B $10 \%$ of the units entering the process.
The Scrap Value of the wastage in Process A is Rs. 8 per 100 units and Process B is Rs. 100 units.

The Process figures are:

|  | Process A | Process B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rs. | Rs. |
| Materials consumed | 3000 | 1500 |
| Wages | 3500 | 2000 |
| Manufacturing <br> expenses | 1000 | 1000 |

5,000 units were brought into Process A costing Rs. 2500.

The outputs were:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Process A } & 4,700 \text { Units } \\ \text { Process B } & 4,150 \text { Units }\end{array}$
Prepare Process Cost Accounts showing the cost of the output. Also show abnormal Wastage Account.

## Solution:

Process A Account

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Units <br> introduced | 5,000 | 2,500 | By Normal <br> wastage | 250 | 20 |
| To Material |  | 3,000 | By Abnormal <br> wastage | 50 | $105^{*}$ |
| To Wages |  | 3,500 | By Process B | 4,700 | 9,875 |
| To Mfg. Expense |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 5,000 | 10,000 |  | 5,000 | 10,000 |

* The Value of abnormal wastage in Process A is calculated as follows:

Normal output is $5,000-250=4,750$ units
Normal cost is $10,000-20=$ Rs. 9,980
Therefore, Normal cost of one unit is $9,980 / 4,750=$ Rs. 2.10
Therefore, Cost of 50 units of Abnormal wastage is $2.10 \times 50=$ Rs. 105.
As the Abnormal wastage is sold for Rs. 4, therefore, the amount of loss to be transferred to Profit and Loss Account shall be Rs. $105-4=$ Rs. 101.

Abnormal Wastage A/c (Process A)

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Process A | 50 | 105 | By sale of Scrap <br> @ Rs. 8 per 100 | 50 | 4 |
|  |  |  | By P \& L A/c Loss <br> transferred |  | 101 |
|  | 50 | 105 |  | 50 | 105 |

## Process B Account

|  | Units | Rs. | Rormal | Units | Rs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Process A | 4700 | 9875 | By Normal <br> Wastage A/c | 47 |  |
| To Materials |  | 1500 | By Abnormal <br> wastage A/c | 80 | $* 271$ |
| To Wage | 2000 | By Finished Stock <br> A/c | 4150 | 14057 |  |
|  | 4,700 | 17,375 |  | 4,700 | 14,375 |

[^0]The normal cost of 4230 units is Rs. 14328
Therefore, Normal Cost of one unit $=14,328 / 4,230=$ Rs. 3.39
Therefore, the Cost of 80 units $=$ Rs. $3.39 \times 80=$ Rs. 271
The abnormal wastage will realize Rs. 8 , therefore the loss transferable shall be Rs. $271-8=$ Rs. 263.

## Abnormal Wastage A/c (Process B)

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To Process B | 80 | 271 | By sale of Scrap <br> @ Rs. 10 per 100 | 80 | 8 |
|  |  |  | By P \& L A/c Loss <br> transferred |  | 263 |
|  | 80 | 271 |  | 80 | 271 |

## Illustration 4

From the following details extracted form the costing records of an oil mill for a year ended $31^{\text {st }}$ March, you are required to prepare the process cost account of
(a) Groundnut Crushing Process;
(b) Refining Process; and
(c) Finishing Process including casking, and determine the cost per tone of each process and the total cost per tone of finished oil.

Purchase of 5,000 tonnes of groundnut - Rs. 48,00,000

|  | Crushing <br> Rs. | Plant | Refining <br> Rs. | Plant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Finishing Plant |
| :--- |
| Rs. |$\quad$| Wages | 25,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Power | 6,000 | 3,600 | 2,400 |
| Sundry <br> Materials | 1,400 | 20,000 | - |
| Repairs to Plant <br> \& Machinery | 2,800 | 3,350 | 1,400 |
| Steam | 6,000 | 5,200 | 4,500 |
| Factory <br> Overheads | 13,200 | 6,600 | 2,100 |
| Cost o Casks | - | - | 59,600 |

3000 tonnes of crude oil were produced; 2,500 tonnes of oil were produced by the refining process; and 2,480 tonnes of refined oil were finished for delivery.

Groundnut shells sold - Rs. 400; 1,750 tonnes of groundnut residue sold - Rs. 11,000; loss in weight in crushing - 250 tonnes; 450 tonnes of by-products obtained from Refining Process - Rs. 16,750.

## Solution:

Groundnut Crushing Process

|  | Tonnes | Rs. |  | Tonne | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Groundnut | 5000 | 4800000 | Crude oil (C/o) | 3000 | 4843000 |
| Wages |  | 25000 | Groundnut <br> residue | 1750 | 11000 |
| Power |  | 6000 | Groundnut <br> shells |  | 400 |
| Sundry <br> materials | 1400 | Process loss | 250 | - |  |
| Repairs to Plant <br> \& Machinery |  | 2800 |  |  |  |
| Steam |  | 6000 |  |  |  |
| Factory <br> overheads | 13200 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5000 | 4854400 |  | 5000 | 4854400 |

Cost per tone of crude oil = Rs. 1614.33

## Refining Process

|  | Tonnes | Rs. |  | Tonne | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crude oil (b/f) | 3000 | 4843000 | Refined oil <br> (c/o) | 2500 | 4875000 |
| Wages |  | 10000 | By-products | 450 | 16750 |
| Power |  | 3600 | Process loss | 50 | - |
| Sundry material |  | 20000 |  |  |  |
| Repairs to Plant <br> \& Machinery |  | 3350 |  |  |  |
| Steam |  | 5200 |  |  |  |
| Factory <br> overheads |  | 6600 |  |  |  |
|  | 3000 | 4891750 |  | 3000 | 4891750 |

Cost per tone of refined oil = Rs. 1950
Finishing Process

|  | Tonnes | Rs. |  | Tonne | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Refined oil (b/f) | 2500 | 4875000 | Finished oil | 2480 | 4960000 |
| Wages |  | 15000 |  |  |  |
| Power |  | 2400 | Process loss | 20 | - |
| Repairs to Plant <br> \& Machinery |  | 1400 |  |  |  |
| Steam |  | 4500 |  |  |  |
| Factory <br> overheads |  | 2100 |  |  |  |
| Cost of casks |  | 59600 |  |  |  |
|  | 2500 | 4960000 |  | 2500 | 4960000 |

## Illustration 5

The product of a company passes through three distinct processes to completion. These processes are known as $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C . From past experience it is ascertained that wastage is incurred in each process as under:

| Process A | $2 \%$ of input |
| :--- | :--- |
| Process B | $3 \%$ of input |
| Process C | $10 \%$ of input |

The normal process loss occurring in the three processes is regularly sold at the rates of 50 paise (Process A), Re. 1 (Process B) and Rs. 2 (Process C) per unit respectively the output of each process passes immediately to the next process and the finished units are transferred from Process C to finished stock. The following expenses were incurred.

|  | A | B | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Materials consumed | 40000 | 20000 | 15000 |
| Direct labour | 42000 | 42600 | 35000 |
| Manufacturing expenses | 14600 | 8380 | 13920 |
| Repairs to Plant \& Machinery | 2,800 | 3,350 | 1,400 |

20,000 units have been issued to Process A at cost of Rs. 80,000. The output from each process has been as under:

| Process A | 19,500 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Process B | 18,800 |
| Process C | 16,600 |

There was not stock of work-in-process in any process.
Prepare the process accounts and abnormal wastage account, assuming that the abnormal wastage collected together for all the three processes was sold in one lump and fetched a price of Rs. 10000.

## Solution:

Process A:

| 1. | Actual wastage | $=20000-19500=500$ units |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Normal wastage | $=2 \%$ of $20000=400$ units |  |
| 3. | Scrap sale value | $=$$400 \times$ Re. $0.50=$ Rs. 200 <br> 4. Abnormal wastage | Actual wastage less normal <br> wastage $=100$ units |
| 5. | Prorata cost | $=$Rs. $176000 /(20,000-400)=$ <br> Rs. 19,600 |  |
| 6. | Cost of abnormal wastage | Rs. $176600 / 19600 \times 100$ <br> =Rs. 900 (rounded off) |  |

## Process A

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Units | 20000 | 80000 | Transfer | to | 19500 |


|  |  |  | Process B |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Material |  | 40000 | Normal <br> wastage | 400 | 200 |
| Labour |  | 42000 | Abnormal <br> wastage | 100 | 900 |
| Overhead |  | 14600 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20000 | 176600 |  | 20000 | 176600 |

Calculations in respect of Process B and C are made in a similar manner. Process B

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transfer from <br> Process A | 19500 | 175500 | Transfer to <br> Process C | 18800 | 244400 |
| Material |  | 20000 | Normal <br> wastage | 485 | 585 |
| Labour | 42600 | Abnormal <br> wastage | 115 | 1495 |  |
| Overhead | 8380 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19500 | 246480 |  | 19500 | 246480 |

## Process C

|  | Units | Rs. |  | Units | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transfer from <br> Process B | 18800 | 244400 | Transfer to <br> Finished stock | 16000 | 288000 |
| Material |  | 15000 | Normal <br> wastage | 1880 | 3760 |
| Labour | 35000 | Abnormal <br> wastage | 920 | 16560 |  |
| Overhead |  | 13920 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18800 | 308320 |  | 18800 | 38320 |

Abnormal Wastage Account

| Process A | 900 | Sale | 10000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Process B | 1495 | Loss (Profit and <br> loss account) | 8955 |
| Process C | 16560 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18955 | Total | 18955 |


[^0]:    * The value of abnormal wastage in Process B is calculated as follows:

